300566.01

l l		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP JOHN W. KEKER - #49092 HENRY C. BUNSOW - #60707 MICHAEL H. PAGE - #154913 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORA DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 MARK SCADINA - #173103 JEFF MCDOW - #184727 4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054 Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant	
	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORA	TION
11	INITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
12		LICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 14	NORTHLIN	
15	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) .
16	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Consolidated with C 02-0647 SBA
17 18	Plaintiff, v.	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,185,683 B1; 6,253,193 B1; 5,920,861;
19	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	5,892,900; 5,982,891; 5,917,912; 6,157,721; 5,915,019; 5,949,876; 6,112,181; AND
20	Defendant.	6,389,402 B1.
21		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
22	AND COUNTER ACTION.	
23		
24		GIES CORPORATION (hereafter "InterTrust")
25	hereby complains of Defendant MICROSOFT	CORPORATION (hereafter "Microsoft"), and
26	alleges as follows:	
27	JURISDICTI	ON AND VENUE
28	1. This action for patent infringen	ent arises under the patent laws of the United
	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO COL1640 SBA (MEJ). (	FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENTS ONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA

8

9

12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25 26

27

28

States, Title 35, United States Code, more particularly 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b).

### THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff InterTrust is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 4750 Patrick Henry Drive, Santa Clara, California.
- 5. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Microsoft is a Washington Corporation with its principal place of business at One Microsoft Way, Redmond, Washington.
- 6. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Microsoft does business in this judicial district and has committed and is continuing to commit acts of infringement in this judicial district.
- 7. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 6,185,683 B1, entitled "Trusted and secure techniques, systems and methods for item delivery and execution" ("the '683 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on February 6, 2001.
- 8. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 6,253,193 B1, entitled "Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the '193 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on June 26, 2001.
- 9. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,920,861, entitled "Techniques for defining, using and manipulating rights management data structures" ("the '861 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on July 6, 1999.
- 10. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,892,900, entitled "Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the '900 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on April 6, 1999.
- 11. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,982,891, entitled "Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the '891 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on November 9, 1999.
  - 12. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,917,912 entitled "System

patent"), duly and lawfully issued on June 29, 1999.

duly and lawfully issued on December 5, 2000.

and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the '912

and methods using cryptography to protect secure computing environments" ("the '721 patent").

InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 6,157,721, entitled "Systems

•	i
	1
2	
~	

3

13.

14.

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,915,019, entitled "Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" (the '019 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on June 22, 1999.

InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 5,949,876, entitled "Systems 15. and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the '876 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on September 7, 1999.

- 16. InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 6,112,181, entitled "Systems and methods for matching, selecting, narrowcasting, and/or classifying based on rights management and/or other information" ("the '181 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on August 29, 2000.
- InterTrust is the owner of United States Patent No. 6,389,402 B1, entitled 17. "Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection" ("the 402 patent"), duly and lawfully issued on May 14, 2002.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 18. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-7 as if restated herein.
- 19. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has 20. been and is infringing the '683 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '683 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '683 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

- InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '683 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '683 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '683 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 22. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '683 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '683 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 23. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '683 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 20 through 22, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 24. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 25. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 8 as if restated herein.
  - 26. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

- 27. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '193 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '193 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '193 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 28. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '193 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '193 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '193 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 29. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '193 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '193 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 30. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '193 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 27 through 29, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 31. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of

infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 32. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 9 as if restated herein.
  - 33. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 34. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '861 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '861 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '861 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 35. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '861 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '861 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '861 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 36. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '861 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002... InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis

alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '861 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

- 37. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '861 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 34 through 36, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 38. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 39. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 10 as if restated herein.
  - 40. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 41. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '900 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '900 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '900 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 42. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '900 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '900 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '900 patent

under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

- 43. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '900 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '900 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 44. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '900 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 41 through 43, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 45. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

## FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 46. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 11 as if restated herein.
  - 47. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 48. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '891 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '891 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '891 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

1	
2	

- 49. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '891 patent under § 271(a). thereby inducing infringement of the '891 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '891 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 50. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '891 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '891 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 51. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '891 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 48 through 50, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 52. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

#### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 53. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 12 as if restated herein.
  - 54. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

- 55. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '912 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '912 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '912 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '912 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '912 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '912 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 57. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '912 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '912 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 58. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '912 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 55 through 57, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 59. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of

infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

## SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 60. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 13 as if restated herein.
  - 61. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- been and is infringing the '721 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Patent Local
  Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and
  belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the
  process of developing other systems, which infringe the '721 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is
  further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the
  '721 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '721 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '721 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '721 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- been and is contributorily infringing the '721 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Patent Local Rule 3-1 disclosures served on Microsoft on June 21, 2002.. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis

alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '721 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

- (.5. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '721 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 62 through 64, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 66. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

### EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 67. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 14 as if restated herein.
  - 68. This is a claim for patern infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 69. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '019 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '019 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '019 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 70. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '019 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '019 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '019 patent under §

١.

2

5

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

2526

27

28

271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

- 71. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '019 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '019 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 72. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '019 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 69 through 71, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 73. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

#### NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 74. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 15 as if restated herein.
  - 75. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 76. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '876 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '876 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '876 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.

1	77. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has
2	been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '876 patent unde
3	§ 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '876 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further
4	informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which
5	Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's
6	Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and
7	believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '876 patent under §
8	271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
3	l l

- 78. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '876 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '876 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 79. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '876 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 76 through 78, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 80. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

#### TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 81. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 16 as if restated herein.
  - 82. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

1	
2	

- 83. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '181 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '181 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '181 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '181 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '181 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '181 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 35. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the 181 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '181 parent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 86. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is willfully infringing the '181 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 83 through 85, and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 87. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of

infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.

# ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 88. InterTrust hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-6 and 17 as if restated herein.
  - 89. This is a claim for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.
- 90. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is infringing the '402 patent under § 271(a), as identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. In addition, on information and belief, InterTrust alleges that Microsoft is making and using other systems and/or is in the process of developing other systems, which infringe the '402 patent under § 271(a). InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '402 patent under § 271(a) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 91. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is knowingly and intentionally inducing others to infringe directly the '402 patent under § 271(a), thereby inducing infringement of the '402 patent under § 271(b). InterTrust is further informed and believes that Microsoft's inducement has at least included the manner in which Microsoft has promoted and marketed use of its software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft's infringement of the '402 patent under § 271(b) will continue unless enjoined by this Court.
- 92. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has been and is contributorily infringing the '402 patent under § 271(c) by providing software and services especially made or especially adapted for infringing use and not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use, including at least the software and services identified in InterTrust's Draft Claim Charts presented to Microsoft on June 21, 2002. InterTrust is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that

1	
1	Microsoft's infringement of the '402 patent under § 271(c) will continue unless enjoined by this
2.	Court.
3	93. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft is
4	willfully infringing the '402 patent in the manner described above in paragraphs 90 through 92,
5	and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
6	94. InterTrust is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Microsoft has
7	derived and received, and will continue to derive and receive from the aforesaid acts of
8	infringement gains, profits, and advantages, tangible and intangible, the extent of which are not
9	presently known to InterTrust. By reason of the aforesaid acts of infringement, InterTrust has
10	been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed.
11	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
12	WHEREFORE, InterTrust prays for relief as follows:
13	A. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. §
14	271(a);
15	B. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. §
16	271(b) by inducing others to infringe directly the '683 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);
17	C. That Microsoft be adjudged to have contributorily infringed the '683 patent under
18	35 U.S.C. § 271(c);
19	D. That Microsoft be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '683 patent under 35
20	U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);
21	E. That Microsoft, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and those
22	persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanently restrained
23	and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly or indirectly infringing the '683 patent;
24	F. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '193 patent under 35 U.S.C. §
25	271(a);
26	G. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '193 patent under 35 U.S.C. §
27	271(b) by inducing others to infringe directly the '193 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a);
28	<i>'''</i>
	17
	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENTS CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA MEJ). CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA
	: <b>!!!</b>

1	Fl. That Microsoft be adjudged to have contributorily infringed the '193 p	atent under
2	35 U.S.C. § 271(c);	
3	I. That Microsoft be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '193 patent	under 35
4	U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);	
5	J. That Microsoft, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys,	and those
6	persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanently	restrained
7	and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly or indirectly infringing the '193 pa	
8	K. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '861 patent under 35	
9	271(a);	
10	L. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '861 patent under 35	U.S.C. §
11	271(b) by inducing others to infringe directly the '861 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(	a);
12	M. That Microsoft be adjudged to have contributorily infringed the '861	patent under
13	35 U.S.C. § 271(e);	
14	N. That Microsoft be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '861 paten	t under 35
15	U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);	
16	O. That Microsoft, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys	, and those
17	persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanently	y restrained
18	and enjoined under 35 U.S.C. § 283 from directly or indirectly infringing the '861 pa	itent;
19	P. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '900 patent under 3	5 U.S.C. §
20	271(a);	
21	Q. That Microsoft be adjudged to have infringed the '900 patent under 3	5 U.S.C. §
22	271(b) by inducing others to infringe directly the '900 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271	(a);
23	R. That Microsoft be adjudged to have contributorily infringed the '900	patent under
24	35 U.S.C. § 271(c);	
25	S. That Microsoft be adjudged to have willfully infringed the '900 pater	nt under 35
26	U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b), and (c);	•
27	T. That Microsoft, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorney	s, and those
28	persons in active concert or participation with them be preliminarily and permanent	ly restrained
	18	
	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENTS	

300566.01

1	FEE. That this Court adjudge this case to be exceptional and award reasonable
2	attorney's fees to InterTrust pursuant to \$5 U.S.C. § 285;
3	IFF. That this Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against
4	Microsoft, and award such interest and epsts to InterTrust, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and
5	GGG. That InterTrust have such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.
6	Dated: October 24, 2002 KEKER & VANNEST, LLP
7	Dated. October 24, 2002
8	By: MICHAEL H. PAGE
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant
10	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
11	
12	
13	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14	Plaintiff InterTrust herby demands a trial by jury as to all issues triable by jury,
15	specifically including, but not limited to, the issue of infringement of United States Patent Nos.
16	6,185,683 B1; 6,253,193 B1; 5,920,86 5,892,900; 5,982,891; 5,917, 912; 6,157,721;
17	5,915,019; 5,949,876; 6,112,181; and 6,389,402 B1
18	Dated: October 24, 2002 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
19	
20	Ву:
21	MICHAEL H. PAGE Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter
22	Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
23	CORPORATION
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	22
	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENTS CASE NO. COLLISIONED CONSOLIDATED WITH CO2-0647 SRA
	.1 138

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California in the office of a 2 member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Keker & Van Nest, LLP, 710 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111. 3 On October 24, 2002, I served the following document(s): 5 FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,185,683 B1; 6,253,193 B1; 5,920,861; 5,892,900; 5,982,891; 5,917,912; 6,157,721; 5,915,019; 5,949,876; 6,112,181; AND 6,389,402 B1 6 7 8 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 9 by FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION (IKON) AND UNITED STATES MAIL, by placing a true and correct copy with IKON Office Solutions, the firm's in-house facsimile transmission center provider, for transmission on this date. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. A true and correct copy of same was placed in a sealed emplope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Keker & Van Nest, LLP for sollection and processing of correspondence for mailing. 10 11 According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this affidavit. 12 13 14 John D. Vandenberg, Esq. Eric L Wesenberg, Esq. 15 James E. Geringer, Esq. Mark R. Weinstein, Esq. Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe Kristin L. Cleveland, Esq. 16 Klarquist Sparkman Campbell, et al. 1000 Marsh Road One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 Menlo Park, CA 94025 17 Telephone: 650/614-7400 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland OR 97204 Facsimile: 650/614-7401 18 503/226-7391 Telephone: Facsimile: 503/228-9446 19 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 21 Executed on October 24, 2002, at San Francisco, California. 22 23 24 NOELLE S. NICHOLS 25 26 27 28

300566.01

PROOF OF SERVICE
CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ), CONSOLIDATED WITH C 02-0647 SBA